

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

TECTONOPHYSICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/tecto

Geodynamics of continental plate collision during late tertiary foreland basin evolution in the Timor Sea: constraints from foreland sequences, elastic flexure and normal faulting

John Londono*, Juan M. Lorenzo

Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, E235 Howe-Russell Complex, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Abstract

1

2

3

4

 $\frac{5}{6}$

8 9

Tectonic subsidence of the Australian lithosphere during the Late Tertiary propagates from the southwest to the northeast in 10the Timor Sea, as a consequence of the oblique collision between the Eurasian and Australian plates. We reconstruct the 11 12asynchronous nature of deflection of the Australian plate created during the plate convergence by best-matching the geometry of de-compacted foreland strata against the predictions of simple bending elastic beam models. We infer a maximum subsidence of 133500 m and a maximum width for the basin of \sim 470 km. The effective elastic thickness of the Australian lithosphere (\sim 80 to 14100 km) does not change significantly during basin evolution. The low curvature imposed on the plate ($\sim 5.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^{-1}$) 15during bending is too small to weaken the plate. Yet, abundant but small-slip, normal faulting related to bending implies some 1617degree of inelastic yielding. The polarity of fault propagation supports the oblique nature of the collision. Flexural models indicate that at least 570 km of Australian plate (mostly areas of stretched continental crust) was flexed, primarily by the 18 19tectonic loading of the Timor Island and that the total amount of subducted plate was at least 100 km during basin evolution. 20© 2004 Published by Elsevier B.V. 21

22 Keywords: Geodynamics; Lithospheric flexure; Timor Sea; Eurasia-Australia collision

23

24 1. Introduction

Foreland basin subsidence is primarily related to the vertical deflection of the lithosphere caused by loading of orogenic belts, although subsurface loads, and sediment and water bodies also play an important role in the process. The distinctive wedge-like geometry of foreland basins is a direct result of asymmetric subsidence caused by the accumulation of vertical 32 stresses toward the deeper end of the plate. The 33 stratigraphic record complies with the regional archi-34tecture and therefore represents the geometry of the 35basin at depositional time (Beamont, 1981; Dorobek, 361995; Kruse and Royden, 1994; Turcotte and Schu-37 bert, 1982; Yang and Dorobek, 1995). The timing, 38 amount of vertical force and total plate deflection may 39be determined via flexural modeling, using the strati-40 graphic record deposited during tectonic loading. The 41 basin-subsidence can be modeled as the flexural 42response of an elastic plate to a vertical linear load 43(Turcotte and Schubert, 1982). Changes in subsidence 44

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-225-578-2680; fax: +1-225-578-2302.

E-mail address: jlondono@geol.lsu.edu (J. Londono).



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Fig. 1. (A) Tectonic setting of the Timor Sea. A-A' cross-section in Fig. 2. (B) Seismic and well data. Line number as referred by AGSO. Wells: (1) Ashmore Reef 1; (2) Delambre 1; (3) Buffon 1.

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

across the strike of the basin through time document 45the amount (Cardozo and Jordan, 2001) and polarity 4647 of loading, and the variation in lithospheric strength. Normal faulting has been reported along the subduct-48ing plates as an effect of the flexing lithosphere 4950undergoing inelastic deformation (e.g. Bradley and Kidd, 1991). Extensional faulting is expected to affect 5152areas of high curvature within the upper half of the bending plate. Variations in the amount of tectonic 53transport of the overridden plate trough time change 5455the amount of bending and the spatial distribution of 56 associated normal faults. The forebulge, a lithospheric protuberance developed as an elastic effect of the 5758deflection on the landward end of the bending plate, is expected to reach highs of up to hundreds of 59meters and to extent over hundreds of km. It is 60 61 usually recognized by unconformities and sedimen-62 tary pinch-outs on both of its flanks.

The Late Tertiary foreland basin that developed in
the Timor Sea (Fig. 1) during the latest episode of
collision between the Australian and Eurasian plates
(~6.5 to 1.6 Ma) provides an excellent example of a
peripheral foreland basin (Lorenzo et al., 1998; Miall,
1995; Tandon et al., 2000). The Timor Trough, a deep
depression, is created by the deflection of the Austra-

lian plate under the load of the accretionary wedge 70(Hamilton, 1979; Harris, 1991), as well as sediment 71and sub-surface loading (Lorenzo et al., 1998). Tan-72don et al. (2000) and Lorenzo et al. (1998) used sea 73 floor bathymetry and gravity data to determine the 74present lithospheric strength of the Timor Sea foreland 75basin. They modeled the present geometry of the 76Timor Trough as a ~ 300 km wide, ~ 2000 m deep 77 depression with a 300 m high forebulge (Fig. 2). The 78 Australian continental shelf has been heavily affected 79by reactivation and/or new growth of normal faulting 80 during foreland evolution. Lorenzo et al. (1998) 81 emphasize the role of normal faulting as evidence of 82 inelastic yielding during bending of the Australian 83 plate. O'Brian et al. (1999) recognize the role of plate 84 down-warping in the reactivation of Jurassic faults 85 and formation of Mio-Pliocene fault arrays. Some of 86 these faults are still active, as shown by vertical 87 displacement of the present sea floor (Lorenzo et al., 88 1998). 89

This manuscript is relevant to the present special 90 issue of *Tectonophysics* because it deals with the 91 continental margin evolution of the Pacific Rim, in 92 particular the geodynamics of a well-documented 93 collision zone in the Australia–Indonesia region, 94



Fig. 2. Present Timor Sea/Timor Trough flexural model, modified from Lorenzo et al. (1998). Extent of effective plate from node point (point of zero deflection and marks the beginning of the forebulge) is ~230 km for continuous model and ~155 km for broken plate. The flexural parameter (α) is ~98 km (see Fig. 1A for location).

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

along the Timor Sea foreland basin. This unique, 95early-stage foreland basin has not been disrupted by 96 97 later tectonics. Therefore it can be used to study how the Australian plate has reacted to vertical loading 98trough time. We use flexural models representing the 99 100 bending plate to estimate the effective elastic thickness during evolution of the basin. We calculate the 101 amount of loading, infer the nature of the collision 102between the Eurasian and Australian plates and char-103acterize how the Australian lithosphere has responded 104 105to the load of the Banda Orogen accretionary prism. The position of the geodynamic elements of the 106bending plate model during basin evolution provides 107 a tool to reconstruct the regional basin history in terms 108of subsidence (deflection), tectonic transport (linear 109load position) and the extent of the Australian litho-110 sphere flexed by loading. We also evaluate the impact 111 of inelastic yielding and of the curvature caused by 112vertical loading on plate strength. The timing of the 113apparent displacement of new or reactivated faults 114 115developed during the evolution of the foreland basin is used as an indicator of the polarity of collision. Our 116results, based mainly on extensive seismic data, indi-117cate that despite continuous deformation through time, 118 119no significant change in lithospheric strength has 120occurred during foreland development. We also recognize the NNE oblique nature of plate collision in 121the Timor Sea. The amount of deflection and inelastic 122deformation in the southwestern part of the Timor Sea 123reveals that this area has undergone deformation and 124has been heavily affected by tectonic loading since 125126Late Miocene time. In contrast, in the northeastern region the effect of loading has been more substantial 127since Late Pliocene time. 128

129 2. Tectonic setting

The northwestern Australian continental plate is 130presently at a steady-state collision with the Banda arc 131132and Timor Island (Hamilton, 1979; Fig. 1). The colliding process began to affect the Australian North 133134West Shelf in the Timor Sea in Late Miocene times. 135The N20E convergence of the Australian plate is estimated at a rate of 71 mm/year (Tregoning et al., 1361994). However, GPS studies (Genrich et al., 1995) 137138 indicate that the Australia-Timor convergence has 139ceased and the Australia-Eurasia collision is accommodated by back-thrusting north of Timor along the 140Flores and Wetar thrusts. The Australian lithosphere 141 has been subducted under a 200 km wide zone of 142Eurasian lithosphere (Richardson and Blundell, 1996), 143including Timor Island, an accretionary prism (Aud-144ley-Charles, 1986) developed over part of the 145stretched Australian continental crust. The Australian 146North West Shelf in the Timor Sea has experienced 147various tectonic episodes since Paleozoic times. 148O'Brien et al. (1996) note that NE-SW trending 149structures (Vulcan and Malita grabens, Fig. 1) reveal 150Late Devonian-Early Carboniferous rifting events, in 151contrast to the NW-SE trend of Jurassic rift-related 152basins (Pretelt sub basin, Sahul Syncline, Fig. 1). 153Hamilton (1979), using refraction velocities, reports 154more than 4 km of sedimentary cover overlying 155continental crust at the toe of the tectonic wedge. 156Continental crust with decreasing thickness, from 35 157km in Kimberly Highs to 26 km under the outer shelf 158near the Timor Trough (Petkovic et al., 2000), under-159lies the Australian shelf. Petkovic et al. (2000) esti-160mate the attenuation of Precambrian basement rocks 161from 35 to 13–14 km across the margin ($\beta = 2.6$). 162

2.1. Foreland stratigraphy

163

164

Since the Late Miocene, the North West Australian 165Shelf in the Timor Sea has been a carbonate ramp 166whose stratigraphic architecture has been driven prin-167cipally by sea level fluctuations of diverse origin, such 168as tectonic subsidence and eustasy (Apthorpe, 1988). 169Two unconformities have been identified in the fore-170land succession (Fig. 3). The oldest one, at the base, 171interpreted from the juxtaposition of shallow and 172deepwater facies, was identified in the commercial 173wells Delambre 1 and Buffon 1 (Fig. 1; Apthorpe, 1741988). This regional unconformity, at the top of 175Middle Miocene limestones (planktonic forams of 176Zone N10), is overlain by Late Miocene carbonate 177sediments of deep water nature (Zone N15). The 178second unconformity, reported by Veevers (1974) at 179the ODP Leg 262, separates shallow water upper 180Pliocene dolostones and calcarenites from folded 181 shallow-water lower Pliocene carbonates, at the axis 182of the trough. Boheme (1996) also identifies this 183unconformity along proprietary seismic profiles. 184According to Hillis (1992), the hiatus represents a 185short-term depositional break (<1 Ma) at Ashmore 186

FICLE IN



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. (A) Uninterpreted Seismic Line 118-15 (see Fig. 1 for location). (B) Interpreted seismic profile. Well data and previous interpretations were used as constrains. Note the characteristic regional wedge-like geometry of the foreland succession (highlighted by black lines). Intervalvelocity range is between 1500 and 2200 m/s. The boundaries of the sequences are short-term unconformities. New faults were developed during plate bending and older normal faults were reactivated.

Reef 1 well (Fig. 1). The top of the foreland deposits 187 are punctuated by the youngest lithified sediments 188 dated at ~ 1.6 Ma (Apthorpe, 1988). The lithology of 189the entire succession, poorly known, is described in 190191some wells as greenish-gray calcilutites and calcisiltites (sic.) of outer shelf, shelf edge and platform 192environments (Apthorpe, 1988). 193

The seismic reflectors that represent the top of Late 194Pliocene and the unconformities at the base of Late 195Miocene and Late Pliocene are identified within our 196

seismic data. The interpretation (Fig. 3) was carried 197 out by integration of well information (sequence 198199thickness and age) and previous reports (Ostby and Johnstone, 1994; Woods, 1994; Wormald, 1988) 200 based on seismic data (reflector geometry, interval 201202 time and thickness). Since our goal is to analyze the temporal evolution of the foreland basin, we divide 203the foredeep succession in two packages, as does 204 Boheme (1996). Using the regional unconformities 205we named the oldest Sequence A (Late Miocene to 206207 Early Pliocene) and the youngest Sequence B (Late Pliocene). The present combined thickness of these 208sequences varies between 20 and 800 m. 209

210 3. Data

211Over 2000 km of two-dimensional seismic reflection data, 4 to 6 s recording, 48-fold coverage, from 212192 channels with 50 m shot interval and 12.5 m 213214 common-depth points (CDP's) from the Australian 215Geological Survey Organization (AGSO) 1996 seismic program (Fig. 1) are used as the primary source of 216data. Most shiptrack lines are parallel to the SSE 217218 Miocene-to-Recent tectonic transport direction. Although seismic profiles only sample the Australian 219shelf and southern Timor Trough, they contain enough 220221 information for flexural modeling. Additionally, well 222 log information and seismic data from the literature allow us to tie biostratigraphic and lithological data to 223the seismic record (Figs. 1 and 3). 224

225 4. Methods

226Sequence boundary unconformities are mapped 227 within the entire seismic data. Areas like the Cartier Through, where the interpretation is ambiguous due to 228high deformation, are omitted from subsequent flex-229ural analysis. The largest uncertainty in the recon-230struction of de-compacted thickness and flexure 231profiles is associated with estimates of sequence 232233thickness calculated using interval velocities derived 234from the seismic semblance analysis. According to our seismic data, interval velocities between 1500 and 2352500 m/s appear to be the most suitable for the 236foreland deposits. These velocities agree with previ-237238ous interpretations of proprietary data in the area. For this two-end member interval velocity range, the error239in thickness calculation might be 11% to 14%. The240results, where control is possible, match the thickness241range constraint by well information. Isopach maps242derived from our seismic interpretation (Fig. 4) show243local depocenter distribution within the general deep-244ening of the basin during foredeep sedimentation.245

246

247

4.1. Flexural models

We assume that the accommodation space in the 248evolving Timor Sea foreland basin is completely filled 249with sediments throughout the two depositional peri-250ods we are analyzing. Unfortunately, there is not 251enough data to constrain the water depth at any time. 252Thus, we take the base of the sequence profile, at a 253particular period, to represent the down-warping top 254of the lithosphere and the top of the profile as a 255horizontal surface. This, ideally flat surface, rarely 256coincides with sea level; rather, it is an abstraction that 257represents a horizontal datum of zero deflection and 258marks the position of node point along the profile, as 259well as the beginning of the forebulge (Fig. 2). These 260profiles are used as the principal constraint to develop 261models of plate deflection. The theoretical curves, 262produced primarily by variation in effective elastic 263thickness and in amount of loading, must match 264adequately the geometry of the data (de-compacted 265thickness profiles) and fit into the geological model of 266the basin. We reproduce the theoretical profiles fol-267lowing the mechanical model of the two-dimensional 268flexure of an elastic beam, of constant elastic thick-269ness, lying over a viscous substratum (Turcotte and 270Schubert, 1982). Table 1 summarizes the parameters 271used in these calculations. Seven seismic profiles were 272chosen to carry out flexural modeling (Fig. 1). We 273discard lines crossing areas deformed by salt-tectonics 274and consider only seismic lines collected parallel to 275the regional NW-SE tectonic load transport direction. 276

Foreland basin studies use both broken and con-277tinuous plate models. For example, Tandon et al. 278(2000) use a broken plate for modeling the Australian 279Shelf, whereas Kruse and Royden (1994) use a 280continuous plate model for the Apennine and Dinaride 281foreland basins in the Adriatic Sea. Using the present 282 bathymetric profile of the oceanic floor in the Timor 283 Sea (Fig. 2), we test both continuous and broken plate 284models. In the first case, the point of maximum 285



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Fig. 4. Isopach maps for Late Miocene–Early Pliocene (A) and Early Pliocene–Late Pliocene (B). Note the increasing thickness toward the trench. Map A shows some regional tectonic structures like the Sahul Syncline and Vulcan Sub-basin. In Sequence B (map B) no structure is clearly distinguishable from the isopach map.

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

t1.1 Table 1 t1.2 Geodynamic constan

t1.2	Geodynamic constants				
t1.3	Definition	Symbol	Value/units		
t1.4	Density of water	$ ho_{ m w}$	1030 kg/m ³		
t1.5	Density of mantle	$ ho_{ m m}$	3300 kg/m ³		
t1.6	Flexural rigidity	D	Nm		
t1.7	Effective elastic thickness	Te	km		
t1.8	Flexural parameter	α	m		
t1.9	Maximum deflection	Wo	m (at point Xo)		
t1.10	Gravitational acceleration	g	9.8 m/s ²		
t1.11	Young modulus	Ē	$11 imes 10$ 11 Pa		
t1.12	V	Poisson Ratio	0.25		
t1.13	Load (linear)	Po	N/m		
t1.14	Bending moment	M	Ν		
t1.15	Curvature	R	1/m		

Summary of the mechanical parameters used in the models. The governing equation for the bending plate is $D(d^4w/dx^4)$ + $(\rho_m - \rho_w)gw = 0$ (Turcotte and Schubert, 1982) assuming a linear load, where *D* is flexural rigidity, *w* is the deflection, ρ_m is the density of the mantle, ρ_w the density of water infill, *g* gravity acceleration. Bending moment (*M*) is given by equation $M = D(d^2w/dx^2)$. Curvature is given by equation R = M/D (Turcotte and Schubert 1982)

t1.16 Schubert, 1982).

deflection is located 231 km from the node point, 286287 ~ 100 km north of the western margin of Timor 288Island. For a broken plate model the maximum extent 289of the effective plate is 154 km, and the end of the plate is located directly below Timor Island. Both 290models match the data using an effective elastic 291thickness of ~ 40 km. However, we consider that 292293the assumption of a continuous plate gives more reliable results. This assumption is based on tectonic 294295models (Karig et al., 1987) and seismic studies (Hamilton, 1979; Veevers, 1974) showing the Austra-296lian plate going beyond the present position of the 297Banda Arc. 298

299It is important to consider the position of our data 300 within our modeled profiles at each particular time (Figs. 1 and 2). Our study area undergoes NNW 301 displacement with respect to the Eurasian plate 302 during collision. The same well location, for exam-303 ple, will over time occupy a point closer to the 304 trench. Consequently, within each profile the seismic 305 data partially records at least two independent flexed 306 307 stages of the plate. Sequence A contains sediments deposited more distally from the trench axis, while 308 Sequence B contains sediments deposited at sites 309 310more proximal to the trench axis. Today's position of 311 the seismic data is assumed to be at the most proximal position to Timor Island (tectonic load).312Therefore the present distances between the point of313zero deflection (node point, Fig. 2) and the position314of the linear load (Point of maximum deflection Xo,315Table 1, Figs. 5 and 6) must be the minimum316acceptable value for our models.317

319

341

342

4.2. De-compaction calculation

Sediment de-compaction is carried out to obtain the 320 thickness at the time of deposition. For this calculation 321 we assume a porosity-depth function $\phi = \phi_0 e^{-cz}$ 322 (Allen and Allen, 1990; Lerche, 1990), where ϕ is 323the estimated present-day porosity and z the present 324 depth below sea level. Values for c, the ϕ -depth curve-325slope coefficient (0.57 km⁻¹); and ϕ_0 , initial porosity 326 (0.63), are taken from studies based on well-logs in the 327 Australian platform (Hillis, 1990). 328

Sediments are de-compacted following Steckler 329 and Watts (1980), using the function $S = h(1 - \phi)/$ 330 $(1 - \phi_0)$, where *S* is the thickness of the de-compacted 331 layer (sequence) and *h* the present-day thickness. We 332 assume that compaction is the product of decreasing 333 porosity due only to the mechanical non-reversible 334 process of expulsion of pore water. 335

From the thickness error introduced by interval 336 velocities inaccuracy, porosity can change up to 337 \sim 3%. This in turn introduces an error in de-compacted 338 thickness up to \sim 7%, which we consider an acceptable 339 value since the average thickness change is \sim 28%. 340

4.3. Flexure-related normal faulting

Reactivated normal fault planes dip between 33° 343 and 40° in the shallow section within post Cretaceous 344sediments. In the deeper section, some faults are listric 345and their traces die out in pre-Mesozoic strata, irre-346 spective of fault vergence (Fig. 3). A regional detach-347 ment zone is not easily distinguishable along the 348 seismic data; nonetheless, Paleozoic evaporite beds 349are the most probable detachment level in the area. 350 O'Brien et al. (1993) report some of these faults 351 cutting basement at 15 to 20 km depths. Newer faults, 352developed during foreland evolution, die within Cre-353 taceous or younger strata and dip between 40° and 354 55° along the entire fault trace. 355

We assume that the fault slip measured along the 356 fault plane in the foreland basin deposits indicates a 357



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Fig. 5. Flexural model for Sequence A time ($\sim 6.5-3.4$ Ma). Gray line represents the seismic data (de-compacted thickness). Black line represents the theoretical models. These figures represent the best match between both curves. EET is effective elastic thickness; Po is the amount of load calculated for each model and is located in the most deflected end of the profiles. Note the extent of the deflection between 400 and 470 km.

comparative amount of deformation caused by extension due to flexure. Normal faults caused by plate
bending are expected to develop in areas of high
curvature. The more flexed the plate is, the higher
the curvature, where greater tensional stresses produce
brittle deformation (Bradley and Kidd, 1991).

To calculate the apparent displacement in the 364section along the fault plane in both sequences, 365 vertical stratigraphic separation is measured in meters 366 and corrected by the angle of the fault. The apparent 367 368 slip separating the reflector representing the top of Sequence A documents not only the displacement of 369 that particular period of deformation, but also subse-370 371 quent movements. Since we are interested in the 372apparent slip developed during Sequence A time, it is necessary to subtract any slip up-dip of the fault 373 plane affecting younger deposits. We assume that the 374 fault slip is homogeneous along the entire segment 375 affecting foreland strata. We identify and measure 376 over 200 new or reactivated normal faults active 377 during plate deflection. 378

5. Results

5.1. Flexure models 381

379

380

Subsidence of the Late Tertiary foreland basin in 382 the Timor Sea is modeled by flexural deformation of 383 a homogeneous, elastic, continuous plate. During 384

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Sequence A time (~6.6-~3,4 Ma) the maximum de-compacted thickness is calculated as ~1200 m along seismic profile 116_04, and the minimum is ~400 m along profile 165_09 (Fig. 5). However, these values are not a direct measure of the deflection of the plate. In order to do that it is necessary to evaluate the position of our data and best fitting models within the regional deflecting trend. A comparison between best fitting curves indicates that during Sequence A time the western part of the Timor Sea was undergoing remarkably more deflection at the end of the effective plate than the eastern part under similar elastic thickness. In contrast, during Sequence B time ($\sim 3.4 - \sim 1.6$ Ma) a com-398



Fig. 6. Flexural models for sequence B. Note the homogeneous effective elastic thickness.

399 parison of flexural models indicates that the east 400 Timor Sea was undergoing more subsidence (flex-401 ure) than the western part. The deposits are thicker 402 in the eastern part of seismic control (up to ~ 979 403 m) along lines 118_15 and 118_02 (Fig. 6). During 404 this time the de-compacted thicknesses coincide with 405 predicted values of plate deflection.

A range of effective elastic thickness values (20 to 406 120 km) is tested, but only cases where values lie 407 between 80 and 100 km appear to match the de-408compacted stratigraphic data. For the base of Se-409quence A in the western-central part of the survey 410(lines 165_09, 163_01_15, 116_04) effective elastic 411 thickness values between 80 and 100 km produce 412 413acceptable fitting curves to the data. In the easternmost survey area, in turn, only an effective elastic 414 thickness of 100 km appears to fit the seismic data in 415continuous plate models. Our analysis suggests a 416 maximum deflection of the Australian lithosphere of 417 about 3500 m in the west Timor Sea. Table 2 418 summarizes the results of the best fitting curves for 419both sequence times. 420

421

422 5.2. Normal faulting

423 The tension in the upper-half plate caused by 424 deflection produced abundant normal faulting in the 425 Australian Platform (Figs. 3 and 7). A greater number 426 of faults with higher displacement are found in Late 427 Miocene to Early Pliocene than in Late Pliocene times 428 (Fig. 8a). The distribution of these faults suggests that 429 the stresses caused by vertical loading were probably

t2.1	Table 2	
+2.2	Flavural	norometer

higher in the west than in the east Timor Sea, as also 430shown by estimates of curvature (Table 2; Fig. 7). The 431apparent fault slip measured along the deflected plate 432 shows that the mean displacement during the se-433quence A period was 40 m in the western area (lines 434165_06, 165_09, and 161_03_15) and only ~ 24 m in 435the eastern area (lines 118_02, 118_15 and 118_06). 436Therefore, the average slip is $\sim 66\%$ higher in the 437west than in the east. The maximum curvature for 438this period, which occurred toward the west, is 439~ 5.1×10^{-8} m. During Sequence B time, normal 440faulting displacement was also higher in the west 441 Timor Sea. The mean slip is 34 m in this area (lines 442 165_06, 165_09, and 161_03_15), whereas for the 443 east Timor Sea it is < 25 m (lines 118_02, 118_15 and 444 118_06). The average displacement of these faults is 445up to 36% higher in the west than in the east (Fig. 8). 446 However, the maximum curvature during this period 447 $(\sim 3.4-1.6 \text{ Ma})$ occurs in the east Timor Sea (Table 2) 448 and it is estimated as $\sim 3.2 \times 10^{-8}$ m. Individual 449fault-slip measurements (Figs. 7 and 8) show that 450the absolute amount of displacement is up to two 451times higher in the west Timor Sea (120 m) than in the 452east Timor Sea (maximum slip of 50 m). 453

6. Discussion

Variations in the effective elastic thickness of the 455 Australian lithosphere in the Timor Sea are not 456 evident during development of the foreland basin. 457 McNutt (1984) shows that there is a correlation 458

Sequence	EET (km)	<i>D</i> (Nm)	α (km)	Xo (km)	Po (N/m)	Wo (m)	Xm (km)	M (Nm)	<i>R</i> (m)
(A)									
165_09	80	4.6e24	168	397	2.7e13	3552	265	2.35e17	5.11e – 8
163_01_15	100	9.0e24	199	469	2.2e13	2400	313	2.28e17	2.52e - 8
116_04	80	4.6e24	168	397	1.3e13	1940	265	1.31e17	2.84e - 8
118_02	100	4.6e24	199	469	2.0e13	2226	313	1.23e17	2.65e - 8
118_15	100	9.0e24	199	469	8.5e12	928	313	8.8e16	9.7e – 9
(B)									
165_09	100	9.0e24	199	469	1.0e13	655	313	6.21e16	6.87e-9
118_02	100	9.0e24	199	469	2.0e13	2180	313	2.07e17	2.29e - 8
118_15	100	9.0e24	199	469	2.8e13	3000	313	2.9e17	3.21e - 8

Variables as defined in Table 1. Xo is the distance between the linear load at the position of maximum deflection and the node point or point of t2.15 zero deflection. Xm is the position of the maximum bending moment along the model.



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Fig. 7. Normal flexural-related faulting for a section of (A) western line 163_01_15 and (B) eastern line 116_04. Note the Early-Late Pliocene sequence almost unaffected in the western line (A).

459 between thermal age and plate thickness: plates older 460 than 100 myr are expected to be strong (i.e. high 461 rigidity). By contrast, Watts (2001) notes that no 462 simple relation exists between thermal age and conti-463 nental lithosphere rigidity. Rather, he favors the role 464 of the crust composition in the present-day geothermal 465 gradient as the key factor controlling the continental effective elastic thickness. Since the last tectonic event 466 to affect the Australian plate prior to bending was 467 Triassic–Jurassic rifting (geothermal age), the Australian continental lithosphere along the northwestern 469 shelf is expected to be strong. At the beginning of 470 continental plate collision, during Sequence A time, 471 models favor an effective elastic thickness ranging 472



Fig. 8. (a) Fault slip frequency and temporal distribution. Note the higher displacement during Sequence A time than during Sequence B time. In (b) and (c) note the distribution of fault slip along the east and west Timor Sea during foreland evolution.

from 80 to 100 km. The Australian lithosphere in the 473east Timor Sea appears consistently strong (100 km of 474 effective elastic thickness), while in the central area of 475the survey (Line 116_04, Figs. 1 and 5) and in the 476westernmost region of the seismic survey (line 477165_09, Figs. 1 and 5) the crust appears weaker, with 478 an effective elastic thickness of 80 km. During Time 479B, however, all models work with an effective elastic 480thickness of 100 km (Fig. 6). Noticeably, one best-481 fitting model, line 165_09, shows changes in effective 482elastic thickness from 80 to 100 km, from one period 483 to the other, while another, line 118_15, keeps the 484same elastic thickness of 100 km in both periods. Our 485range of effective elastic thickness (80 to 100 km) 486falls within the 25% of uncertainty of estimated 487 accuracy for similar data (Burov and Diament, 488 1995). These high values probably indicate an unal-489tered continental lithosphere (no de-coupling) after 490being tectonically loaded (Burov and Diament, 1995). 491The relatively constant effective elastic thickness 492through time rules out any significant weakening of 493the Australian plate, therefore, no relaxation and/or 494visco-elastic rheology is necessary to model the basin. 495Moreover, according to our results, loading appears 496not to have any weakening effect on the elastic 497thickness of the Australian plate. This is in agreement 498with the elastic behavior of the lithosphere during 499foreland time. 500

Lateral and spatial variation in crustal strength has 501been previously reported in foreland basins. For the 502 Bermejo foreland basin in Argentina, Cardozo and 503Jordan (2001) invoke inherited heterogeneities in pre-504bending lithosphere as the cause of these variations. In 505the Timor Sea, Tandon et al. (2000) develop models of 506laterally variable effective elastic thickness (25-75 507 km) due to changes in curvature. Spatial variation in 508the amount of effective elastic thickness has two 509probable causes. One could be the effect of an 510inherited rheologically heterogeneous basement. The 511central-eastern Timor Sea contains crystalline paleo-512highs, which may correspond to regions of higher 513effective elastic thickness, while the west Timor Sea is 514affected by ancient basement-grabens filled with pre-515Miocene sediments that may decrease lithospheric 516strength prior to bending (Lavier and Steckler, 1997; 517Fig. 1). The other probable cause could be lateral 518variation in strain rate or in strain partitioning, along 519the collision zone, (Harris, 1991) resulting in differ-520

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

ential bending and models of variable lithospheric 521strength. The foreland sedimentary-cover appears 522523not to have a significant effect in the effective elastic thickness. The Timor Sea foreland sediments do not 524525reach the 3 to 5 km in thickness that according to 526Lavier and Steckler (1997) are the minimum values necessary to decrease the effective elastic thickness in 527areas of crust thinner than 35 km. 528

529The change in the modeled deflection through time 530shows that during Late Miocene-Early Pliocene time the west Timor Sea undergoes greater deflection than 531the east Timor Sea. The difference at the point of 532maximum deflection (position of linear load) is about 5331000 m (lines 165_09 vs. Line 118_02). However, 534535sediment thickness along the seismic survey shows that foreland sediments in the east are thicker than in 536the west. This apparent contradiction is resolved if we 537 consider that the two areas represent different lateral 538positions within a flexural model. The western part of 539the survey is located farther away from the linear load, 540541and appears thinner in spite of requiring greater deflection. In contrast, the east Timor Sea appears 542thicker in spite of less flexure at the position of the 543linear load. During the Late Pliocene, the thickness of 544the corresponding foreland sequence coincides with 545546the amount of flexure, as the eastern area appears thicker and more deflected than the western part of the 547survey (Figs. 5 and 6; Table 2). Although in our model 548the physical causes of loading can be diverse, an end 549550shear vertical force emulates the tectonic (accretionary wedge) and sediment loading. Different shear force 551values are needed to match the data and are possibly 552553the cause of significant differences in deflection between the eastern and western Timor Sea. It is 554interesting to note that the amount of deflection (up 555to ~ 3500 m) is much larger than the expected 556subsidence produced by the combination of sea level 557 changes (0-150 m, Haq et al., 1988) and sediment 558loading. The difference in the modeled deflection 559between east and west Timor Sea may be due to the 560polarity of basin closure. Harris (1991) shows an 561562oblique southwestern and northeastern propagation of the collision zone between the Eurasian and Aus-563564tralian plates.

565 At the end of the Early Pliocene, the theoretical 566 flexure involves \sim 470 km of Australian plate. The 567 point of maximum deflection and the position of the 568 linear load (Po in Fig. 9) are located northwestward of the present Timor Island (Fig. 9A). The forebulge is 569between 269 and 310 km wide and ~261 m high. Its 570top is located in continental Australia, at a point which 571coincides with today's westernmost Kimberly High-572lands (Fig. 9A). By the end of the Late Pliocene 573 $(\sim 1.6 \text{ Ma})$, the position of the theoretical point of 574maximum deflection and linear load (Po) had mi-575grated ~ 120 km southeastward in the west Timor Sea 576and ~ 100 km in the central and east Timor Sea 577 (Fig. 9B). The remaining elements of the flexural 578model, such as the flexural node (point of zero 579deflection, Xo in Fig. 9) and the top of the forebulge 580(Xb in Fig. 9) migrated in the same direction. The 581displacement of the flexural elements (100 to 120 km) 582is shorter, although of similar magnitude, than the 583estimated amount of subducted plate under Eurasia 584(150 to 200 km). The estimate rates of plate conver-585gence (Tregoning et al., 1994; Genrich et al., 1995) 586suggest that convergence was not constant during 587collision. According to our models, at least 570 km 588of Australian plate have been flexed due to vertical 589loading. Interestingly, the position of the youngest 590landward boundary of the regional deflection (Fig. 5919B) coincides with the basin-ward boundary of the 592un-stretched continental crust, in the Kimberly Block 593area (~ 35 km), as described in the models of Pet-594kovic et al. (2000). This indicates that only stretched 595continental crust was flexed during collision in the 596west Timor Sea. 597

According to our results, the upper lithosphere was 598experiencing tension followed by normal faulting 599coevally with thrusting in the accretionary prism and 600 flexure along the whole Australian shelf. The distri-601 bution of normal faulting throughout the entire survey 602 and, according to many authors, along the entire 603Timor Sea (Baxter et al., 1998; Lorenzo et al., 1998; 604 O'Brien et al., 1996; Woods, 1994), precludes any 605transmission of large horizontal compressional 606 stresses. 607

Lorenzo et al. (1998) recognize that the present 608 curvature of the Timor Trough (10^{-7} m^{-1}) appears 609 low compared with values reached by Kruse and 610 Royden (1994) for the Adriatic Sea (10^{-6} m^{-1}) . 611 Our models show an even lower maximum curva-612 ture value (10^{-8} m^{-1}) . According to Watts (2001) 613 and Burov and Diament (1992) curvatures of 614 $\sim 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-1}$ may reduce the effective elastic thick-615 ness up to 50%, while values up to 2×10^{-7} m⁻¹ 616



J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

Fig. 9. Late Mioce–Early Pliocene (A) and Late Pliocene (B) geodynamic configuration of Timor Sea. The amount of subducted Australian lithosphere is at least 100 km, according to this model. Effective elastic thickness (EET) is 80 to 100 km for both periods. Flexural variables as defined in Tables 1 and 2. Po: Linear load, Xo: node point (0 deflection), Xb: position of the top of the forebulge.

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

may reduce the effective elastic thickness up to 617 20%. Lower values do not represent a significant 618 619 change in effective elastic thickness. Therefore, 620 according to the values determined in the present study for the periods of time represented by sequen-621622 ces A and B, the curvature should not significantly decrease the effective elastic thickness of the Aus-623 tralian plate during continental collision. This agrees 624 with the invariable effective elastic thickness derived 625 from flexural models. 626

627 Low curvature values also agree with the small 628 amount of displacement found in flexure-related normal faults. Although inelastic yielding exists, as 629 630 established by faulting, it is not enough to change the regional rheology of the plate. Therefore the 631 632 elastic rheology is consistent with our models. Inter-633 estingly, the position of maximum curvature in the west Timor Sea coincides with the position of the 634 Cartier Trough, using 80 and 100 km of elastic 635 thickness for both periods (Figs. 1 and 9). Part of 636 637 the Cartier Trough deformation may be attributed to 638 high concentration of strain due to flexure.

639 Kruse and Royden (1994) invoke dynamic stresses, phase changes and conductive heating as causes of 640 641 reduction in load in the Adriatic Sea through time. However, in the Timor foreland basin the load reduc-642 tion estimated along the western area is not easily. 643 explained using these causes, since it is considered a 644 thermally stable zone. One plausible explanation for 645 the variation in amount of loading in our models is the 646 changing position of the point of maximum bending 647 648 that migrates towards Australia as the linear load moves in the same direction. The evolution of accre-649 tionary prisms conveys continuous development of 650 new faults and related folds, as well as across-the-651652 strike terminations and relays of these structures. 653 These could all be responsible for the adjustment in the amount of loading affecting the plate through 654time. Harris (1991) shows the change in geometry 655 of the tectonic wedge during the collision of the 656 Australian and Eurasian plates. 657

According to our models, the vertical shear stress is commensurate in the west and east Timor Sea. However, the amount of deformation due to flexure, implicitly inferred from fault displacement, indicates that the west has supported more cumulative strain than the east. The difference in fault displacement is significant during the Late Miocene–Early Pliocene, as the slip is up to 2.5 times greater in the west than in 665 the east. Increasing deformation in the east Timor Sea 666 during Late Pliocene time probably indicates an 667 increase in the amount of stress propagation in the 668 same direction. This polarity of fault activity in the 669 Australian plate corroborates the oblique nature of 670 plate collision suggested by our models of plate 671 deflection through time and supported by previous 672 works (Harris, 1991; Hamilton, 1979). 673

7. Summary

During foreland basin evolution (~ 6.5 to 1.6 Ma.), 675 the effective elastic thickness of the Australian litho-676 sphere in the Timor Sea is between 80 and 100 km. 677 These elastic thickness values agree with the old 678 geothermal age of the Australian Plate. Spatial 679 changes in plate strength are due to heterogeneities 680 prior to bending or basement distribution. The east 681 Timor Sea displays thicker crystalline basement (pale-682 ohighs) and appears to be stronger than the west 683 Timor Sea. The latter exhibits thicker pre-bending 684 sedimentary-cover filling wide graben structures. 685 However, the difference in effective elastic thickness 686 between both areas falls within the estimated error. 687

The effective elastic thickness does not appear to 688 change during foreland basin evolution (~ 6.5 . to 1.6 689 Ma). Consequently, no visco-elastic relaxation can be 690 inferred from the flexure of the plate during loading. 691 Foreland sediment cover is too thin to cause any 692 lithospheric weakening. Curvature of the Australian 693 plate in the Timor Sea is low when compared to other 694 foreland basins. The small curvature was not enough 695 to weaken the lithosphere in the area. Accordingly, 696 normal faulting exhibits small apparent slip (up to 150 697 m). Moreover, inelastic yielding appears not to affect 698 the regional rheology of the Australian lithosphere. 699

According to our models, at least 570 km of the 700 Australian plate (mostly areas of stretched continental 701 crust) was flexed due to vertical loading during the 702 collision. However, the deflection at one particular 703 time involved only 400 to 470 km (most of the 704 Australian Platform). As the tectonic loading ad-705vanced towards continental Australia (100 to 110 706 km), an increasing portion of the Australian plate 707 became flexed. These figures correspond to the esti-708 mated value of subducted plate. According to our 709

16

FICLE IN

J. Londono, J.M. Lorenzo / Tectonophysics xx (2004) xxx-xxx

710 models, the point of maximum deflection was located under today's accretionary prism during the entire 711712period of foreland evolution. The top of the forebulge was located in continental Australia, and is partially 713

represented by the Kimberly Highlands. 714

715The deflection of the plate caused by tectonic 716loading was at a maximum in the west Timor Sea (up to 3500 m) at the beginning of the collision and at 717 a maximum in the east Timor Sea (up to 2300 m) by 718 the end of the convergence. This eastward propaga-719720 tion of the deflection indicates the oblique polarity of the collision between the Eurasian and Australian 721 722plates.

723 Flexure-related normal faulting corroborates the oblique character of the collision. Faulting begins to 724 affect the plate in the west Timor Sea at the beginning 725of convergence and propagates eastward in the same 726 direction as deflection. The west Timor Sea exhibits 727 higher accumulated deformation due to a longer time 728 of loading and coeval bending of the plate. 729

Acknowledgements 730

731 We thank Dr. Geoff O'Brian and the Australian Geological Survey Organisation (AGSO) for allowing 732 us to use the seismic data. We also express gratitude to 733 Unavco-GMT for permission to use their programs 734and data for preparing part of the maps. We are 735 grateful to Dr. Patricia Londono for her help with the 736 preparation of this manuscript. 737

738 References

- 739 Allen, H., Allen, J., 1990. Basin Analysis. Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 740pp. 262-281.
- Apthorpe, M., 1988. Caionozoic depositional history of the North 741 West Shelf. In: Purcell, P., Purcell, R. (Eds.), The North West 742
- 743Shelf of Australia. Petroleum Exploration Society of Australia, 744Perth, Australia, pp. 55-84.
- 745Audley-Charles, M., 1986. Timor-Tanimbar trough: the fore-746 land basin of the evolving Banda orogen. In: Allen, P.A., 747 Homewood, P. (Eds.), Foreland Basin. Blackwell, Oxford, 748UK, pp. 91-102.
- 749Baxter, K., Hill, K., Cooper, T., 1998. Quantitative modeling of the 750Jurassic-Holocene subsidence history of the Vulcan Sub-basin,
- 751North West Shelf: constraints on lithosphere evolution during
- 752continental breakup. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences 45, 753143-154.

Beamont, C., 1981. Foreland basins. Geophysics Journal Research	754
of Astronomical Society 65, 471-498.	755
Boheme, R., 1996. Stratigraphic response to Neogene tectonism on	756
the Australian Northwestern Shelf. Masters Thesis, Louisiana	757
State University, Baton Rouge, USA.	758
Bradley, D., Kidd, W., 1991. Flexural extension of the upper con-	759
tinental crust in collisional foredeeps. Geological Society of	760
America Bulletin 103, 1416–1438.	761
Burov, E., Diament, M., 1992. Flexure of the continental litho-	762
sphere with multilayered rheology. Geophysical Journal 109,	763
449-468.	764
Burov, E., Diament, M., 1995. The effective elastic thickness (Te)	765
of continental lithosphere: what does it really mean? Journal of	766
Geophysical Research 100, 3905-3927.	767
Cardozo, N., Jordan, T., 2001. Causes of spatially variable tectonic	768
subsidence in the Miocene Bermejo foreland basin. Argentina	769
Basin Research 13, 335–358.	770
Dorobek, S., 1995. Synorogenic carbonate platforms and reefs in	771
foreland basin: controls in stratigraphic evolution and platform/	772
reef morphology. In: Dorobek, S., Ross, G. (Eds.), Stratigraphic	773
Evolution of Foreland Basins. SEPM Spec. Publ., vol. 52,	774
pp. 127–148.	775
Genrich, J., Bock, Y., McCaffrey, R., Calais, E., Stevens, C., Rais,	776
J., Subarya, C., Puntodewo, S., 1995. Kinematics of the eastern	777
Indonesia Island Arc estimated by global positioning system	778
measurements. EOS, Trans. AGU 75, 162.	779
Hamilton, W., 1979. Tectonics of the Indonesian Region. US Govt.	780
Printing office, Washington, USA.	781
Haq, B., Handerbol, J., Vail, P., 1988. Mesozoic and Cenozoic	782
chronostratigraphic and eustatic cycles. In: Wilgus, C., Hasting,	783
B., Kendal, C., Posamentier, H., Ross, Ch., Wagoner, V. (Eds.),	784
Sea Level Changes: An Integrated Approach. SEPM Spec.	785
Publ., vol. 42, pp. 71–108.	786
Harris, R., 1991. Temporal distribution of strain in the active Banda	787
orogen: a reconciliation of rival hypothesis. Journal of Southeast	788
Asian Science 6, $371-386$.	789
Hillis, R., 1990. Post-Permian subsidence and tectonics, Vulcan	790
sub-basin, North West Shelf, Australia. Pacific Rim Congress.	791
Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Victoria, Aus-	792
tralia, pp. $203-211$.	793
Hillis, R., 1992. Evidence of Pliocene erosion at Ashmore reef	794
(Timor Sea) from the sonic velocities of Neogene Limestone	795
formations. Exploration Geophysics 23, 489–495.	796
Karig, D., Barber, A., Charltan, T., Klemperer, S., Hussong, D.,	(9)
1987. Nature and distribution of deformation across the Banda	798
Arc-Australian Collision zone at Timor. Geological Society of	(99
America Bulletin 98, $18-32$.	800
Kruse, S., Royden, L., 1994. Bending and unbending of an elastic	801
lithosphere: the Cenozoic history of the Apennine and Dinaride	802
foredeep basins. Lectonics 13, $2/8-302$.	803
Lavier, L., Steckler, M., 1997. The effect of sedimentary cover on	804
the flexural strength of continental lithosphere. Nature 389,	805
4/0-4/9. Loroha L 1000 Dhilosophics and starts-iss of an dollar heritik.	000
Lercne, I., 1990. Philosophies and strategies of model building. In:	807
Lorenzo I. O'Drive C. Stowert I. Tardar K. 1009 J. 1.	000
violding and forshulos share survey and forshulo the	009
yreiding and forebulge snape across a modern foreland basin:	010
 foredeep basins. Tectonics 13, 278–302. Lavier, L., Steckler, M., 1997. The effect of sedimentary cover on the flexural strength of continental lithosphere. Nature 389, 476–479. Lerche, I., 1990. Philosophies and strategies of model building. In: Cross, T. (Ed.), Quantitative Dynamic Stratigraphy, pp. 21–44. Lorenzo, J., O'Brien, G., Stewart, J., Tandon, K., 1998. Inelastic yielding and forebulge shape across a modern foreland basin: 	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

18

- 811 North west shelf of Australia, Timor Sea. Geophysical Research
 812 Letters 25, 1455–1458.
 813 MoNett M (1984) Litherarbasis flavors and decreal according
- McNutt, M., 1984. Lithospheric flexure and thermal anomalies.
 Journal of Geophysical Research 89, 11180–11194.
- Miall, A., 1995. Collision-related foreland basins. In: Busby, C.,
 Ingersoll, R. (Eds.), Tectonics of Sedimentary Basin. Blackwell,
 Oxford, UK, pp. 393–424.
- 818 O'Brian, G., Lisk, M., Duddy, I., Hamilton, J., Woods, J., Cowley,
 819 R., 1999. Plate convergence, foreland development and fault
 reactivation: primary control on brine migration, thermal histories and trap breach in the Timor Sea, Australia. Marine and
 822 Petroleum Geology 16, 533–560.
- O'Brien, G., Etheridge, M., Willcox, J., Morse, M., Symonds, P.,
 Norman, C., Needham, D., 1993. The structural architecture of
 the Timor Sea, North western Australia: implications for basin
 development and hydrocarbon exploration. APPEA Journal 34,
 258–278.
- O'Brien, G., Higgins, R., Symonds, P., Quaife, P., Colwell, J., Blevin,
 J., 1996. Basement control on the development of extensional
 systems in Australia's Timor Sea: an example of hybrid hard
 linked/soft linked faulting? APPEA Journal 36, 161–200.
- 832 Ostby, J., Johnstone, C., 1994. The role of regional seismic surveys
- in the exploration of the North West Shelf. In: Purcell, P., Purcell, R. (Eds.), The Sedimentary Basins of Western Australia.
 Proceedings of the West Australian Basin Symposium, Perth,
 Australia, pp. 211–222.
- Petkovic, P., Collins, C., Finlayson, D., 2000. A crustal transect
 between Precambrian Australia and the Timor Trough across
 the Vulcan Sub-basin. Tectonophysics 329, 23–38.
- 840 Richardson, A., Blundell, D., 1996. Continental collision in the
- 841Banda arc. In: Hall, R., Blundell, D. (Eds.), Tectonic Evolution842of South East Asia. Geological Society of London Special Pub-
- 843 lication, vol. 106, pp. 47–60.

- Steckler, M., Watts, A., 1980. The Gulf of Lion: subsidence of a young continental lithosphere. Nature 287, 135–139. 845
- Tandon, K., Lorenzo, L., O'Brien, G., 2000. Effective elastic thickness of the northern Australian continental lithosphere subducting beneath the Banda Orogen (Indonesia): inelastic failure at the start of continental subduction. Tectonophysics 329, 39–60.
 849
- Tregoning, P., Brunner, F., Bock, Y., Puntodewo, S., McCaffrey, R.,850Genrich, J., Calais, E., Rias, J., Subarya, C., 1994. First geodetic851measurement of convergence across the Java Trench. Geophysics Research Letters 21, 2135–2138.853
- Turcotte, D., Schubert, G., 1982. Geodynamics. Application of
 Continuum Physics to Geological Problems. Wiley, New York,
 USA.
 856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

- Veevers, J., 1974. Sedimentary sequences of the Timor Trough, Timor, and the Sahul Shelf. In: Veevers, J., Heirtzler, J., et al., (Eds.), Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, vol. 27, pp. 567–569.
- Watts, A., 2001. Isostasy and Flexure of the Lithosphere. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK.
- Woods, E., 1994. A salt related detachment model of the development of the Vulcan sub-basin. In: Purcell, P., Purcell, R. (Eds.), The Sedimentary Basins of Western Australia. Proceedings of the West Australian Basin Symposium, Perth, Australia, pp. 259–274.
- Wormald, G., 1988. The geology of the Challis–Oilfield–Timor Sea, Australia. In: Purcell, P., Purcell, R. (Eds.), The North West Shelf of Australia. Petroleum Exploration Society of Australia, Perth, Australia, pp. 425–438.
- Yang, K., Dorobek, S., 1995. The Permian basin of west Texas and
 New Mexico: tectonic history of a composite foreland basin and
 its effects on stratigraphic development. In: Dorobek, S.L.,
 Ross, G.M. (Eds.), Stratigraphic Evolution of Foreland Basins.
 SEPM Spec. Publ., vol. 52, pp. 149–174.
 876